_______ UNTTED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark one) [X]

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001

ΩR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) [-]OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transaction period from ______ to ____

Commission file number 1-100

CROFF ENTERPRISES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) 87-0233535 Utah (IRS Employer (State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

621 17th Street Denver, Colorado (Address of principal executive offices)

80293 (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (303) 383-1515 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act

Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered Common Stock, \$.10 par value None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. [X] Yes [_] No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this

Form 10-K. [X]

As of March 18, 2002, the aggregate market value of the common voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant, computed by reference to the average of the bid and ask price on such date was: \$352,266.

As of March 18, 2002, the Registrant had outstanding 566,060 shares of common stock (\$0.10 par value)

1

CROFF ENTERPRISES, INC. Annual Report on Form 10-K December 31, 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page	PARI I	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ITEM 1	
PROPERTIES7	ITEM 2	
LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	ITEM 3	
SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS13	ITEM 4	
I	PART II	
MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S SECURITIES AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	ITEM 5	
SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	ITEM 6	
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	ITEM 7	
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA19	ITEM 8	
CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES19	ITEM 9	
II	PART III	
O DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT19	ITEM 10	
1 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION20	ITEM 11	
2 SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	ITEM 12	

ITEM	13	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIP AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS22
PART	IV	
ITEM	14	EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K
		SIGNATURES24
		FINANCIAL STATEMENTS25(F-1)

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Certain information included in this report, other materials filed or to be filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), as well as information included in oral statements or other written statements made or to be made by the Company contain or incorporate by reference certain statements (other than statements of historical fact) that constitute forwardlooking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Statements that are not historical facts contained in this report are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ from projected results. Such statements address activities, events or developments that the Company expects, believes, projects, intends or anticipates will or may occur, including such matters as future capital, development and exploration expenditures (including the amount and nature thereof), drilling, deepening or refracing of wells, reserve estimates (including estimates of future net revenues associated with such reserves and the present value of such future net revenues), future production of oil and natural gas, business strategies, expansion and growth of the Company's operations, cash flow and anticipated liquidity, prospects and development and property acquisition, obtaining financial or industry partners for prospect or program development, or marketing of oil and natural gas. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially ("Cautionary Disclosures") are described, among other places, in the Gathering, Processing and Marketing, Competition, and Regulation sections in this Form 10-K and under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." Without limiting the Cautionary Disclosures so described, Cautionary Disclosures include, among others: general economic conditions, the market price of oil and natural gas, the risks associated with exploration, the Company's ability to find, acquire, market, develop and produce new properties, operating hazards attendant to the oil and gas business, uncertainties in the estimation of proved reserves and in the projection of future rates of production and timing of development expenditures, the strength and financial resources of the Company's competitors, the Company's ability to find and retain skilled personnel, climatic conditions, labor relations, availability and cost of material and equipment, environmental risks, the results of financing efforts, and regulatory developments. All written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to the Company or persons acting on its behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the Cautionary Disclosures. The Company disclaims any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances occurring hereafter or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

With the previous paragraph in mind, you should consider the following important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statement made by the Company or on its behalf.

Description of Business

Croff Enterprises, Inc. (formerly Croff Oil Company and hereafter "Croff" or "the Company"), was incorporated in Utah in 1907 as Croff Mining Company. The Company changed its name to Croff Oil Company in 1952, and in 1996 changed its name to Croff Enterprises, Inc. The Company, however, continues to operate its oil and gas properties as Croff Oil Company. The principal office of the

Company is located at 621 17th Street, Suite 830, Denver, Colorado 80293. The telephone number is (303) 383-1555.

Croff is engaged in the business of oil and gas exploration and production, primarily through ownership of perpetual mineral interests and acquisition of producing oil and gas leases. The Company's principal activity is oil and gas production from non-operated properties. The Company also acquires, owns, and sells, producing and non-producing leases and perpetual mineral interests in Alabama, Colorado, Michigan, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Texas, Utah and Wyoming. Over the past ten years, the Company's primary source of revenue has been oil and gas production from leases and producing mineral interests. Croff participates as a working interest owner in approximately 42 wells. Croff holds small royalty interests in over 200 wells.

Croff primarily accumulated cash in the year 2001, but did invest about \$28,000 for a 5% working interest in a test well in Leflore County, Oklahoma, in January 2001. Croff did not buy any new production in 1999 as it had made significant purchases in 1998 and was repaying debt incurred to pay for those purchases. In 1998, Croff purchased working interests in six natural gas wells in the State of Oklahoma. These wells now provide the largest source of revenue to Croff from any single operating company. In 1997, Croff purchased working interests in three gas wells in Michigan, a gas well in Colorado, and an oil well in Texas. Other companies operate all of the wells from which Croff receives revenues and Croff has no control over the factors, which determine royalty or working interest revenues such as markets, prices and rates of production.

In 1997, the Company leased several tracts of its perpetual mineral interests in Northeast Utah as drilling activity increased. Drilling and leasing activity nearly ceased on the Company's properties in 1998 and 1999, as oil and natural gas prices dropped. In late 2000, the Company again leased a tract of its' perpetual mineral interests.

Please see Item 2, Properties, for charts showing the Company's sales, production and cost of production of oil and natural gas.

Croff has one part-time employee, the President, and two part-time contract workers, who work for the Company as part of its contracted office space arrangement described in Item 13.

Current Activities

During 2001, Croff's primary goal was to increase the cash available for the benefit of common stockholders to make itself a more attractive merger partner. The Board determined that the cash of the Company, which had been building during a period of high oil prices, should be formally allocated between the common stock and the preferred B stock. This allocation was accomplished in the year 2001 with \$250,000 being allocated to the common stock and the balance of cash remaining with the preferred B stock. The Board then determined that all future oil and gas cash flow would be allocated solely to the preferred B for use in acquiring additional oil and gas assets. The cash attributable to the common stock would be invested until such time as a merger may take place. Under this separate allocation, the preferred B and common stock cash investment accounts were separated. The Company ended the year with a balance of approximately \$275,000 allocated to the common stockholders.

The oil and gas activities suffered from the rapid drop in oil and natural gas prices throughout 2001. Nevertheless, the cash flow of the Company, especially during the first half of the year, was sufficient to allow the balance to the common share account as described above. The preferred B account available for purchasing producing oil and gas leases, ended the year with a balance of approximately \$60,000. While the Company looked at several potential purchases, it did not complete any oil and gas purchases during 2001. The Company expects to invest this and other future cash flows in oil and gas producing leases in 2002.

During 2001, the Company considered acquiring a company with oil and gas development prospects in the Illinois Basin, a former prolific oil production area. The Company evaluated preliminary reports from a development project with this company during the second and third quarters of the year. After considerable due diligence, the Board of Directors determined that Croff was not interested in a merger with this prospect and terminated the negotiations. Croff also met and negotiated on a possible merger with a manufacturer of petroleum equipment in China. These negotiations did not result in an agreement and these negotiations have now ceased. The Company intends to explore other potential mergers in the coming year.

During the year 2001, the Company concentrated on the accumulation of cash from its existing producing wells as well as through returns on its existing cash and cash equivalents. The Company continued to actively search for acquisitions or a possible merger. The Company postponed purchasing new oil or natural properties until the year 2002.

In the year 2000, the Company's policy was to accumulate cash from its existing producing wells in order to repay monies that had been borrowed from the common stock cash position and used for the benefit of preferred B shareholders to purchase certain gas wells in 1998. Therefore, the Company did not actively pursue purchasing new properties in the year 2000. The Company did participate in the workover of several wells, and in December, 2000, after the cash reserves had been restored, the Company participated as a 5% working interest owner in a new well drilled by Chesapeake Energy in Leflore County, Oklahoma. This well was completed, but has not established commercial production. In 2000, the Company continued to actively search for acquisitions or a possible merger partner.

In 1999 the Company did not purchase any new properties as it paid off debt and replenished its cash reserves. In 1998, the Company purchased six gas wells located in Oklahoma. The Company spent \$208,000, primarily from its cash reserves, to buy these working interests. While the wells occasionally produce oil or condensate, these wells are primarily natural gas wells. Because of the low prices of oil and natural gas through mid-1999, the effect of this greater production to the Company was offset by low prices.

The shareholders voted in 1996 to adopt changes in the capital structure of the Company in order to provide more liquidity to the shareholders. The purpose of this recapitalization was to allow the Company to pursue ventures outside of the oil and gas business while retaining the Company's core oil and natural gas assets. In order to do this, the Company created a class of preferred B stock to which the entire perpetual mineral interests and other oil and gas assets were pledged. Thus the preferred B stock represents the oil and gas assets of the Company and all other assets are represented by the common stock. Each

common shareholder received an equal number of preferred B shares, one for one, at the time of this restructuring of the capital of the Company.

The preferred B shares are not publicly traded, but are bought and sold by shareholders privately. The Company has provided, each year, a Clearinghouse to assist shareholders wishing to trade preferred B shares. Any shareholder or any outsider is able to bid and ask for the Preferred B shares of the Company. This system provides some liquidity to the preferred B shareholders. The Company operates the Clearinghouse without charge or commission.

The Board intends to continue to search for a potential acquisition or possible merger partner. $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

Major Customers

Customers, which accounted for over 10% of oil and natural gas revenues, were as follows for the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001:

	1999	2000	2001
Burlington Resources Oil and Gas Company	13.2%	13.2%	13.2%
El Paso Production	10.0%	11.0%	10.6%
Jenex Petroleum Corp.	26.9%	28.9%	24.3%

Financial Information About Industry Segments

The Company's operations presently consist of oil and gas production. During previous years the Company has generated revenues through the purchase and resale of oil and gas leasehold interests; however, no significant revenues were generated from this source for the last five years. Further information concerning the results of the Company's operations in this one industry segment can be found in the Financial Statements. The following chart shows the Company's production of oil and natural gas by State:

STATE GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF PRODUCTION

State	Oil Bbls Produced	Natural Gas Mcf Produced
Alabama	-	378
Colorado	101	4,327
Michigan	2	6,684
Montana	264	· -
New Mexico	-	13,648
North Dakota	729	179
Oklahoma	610	22,863
Texas	5	2,044
Utah	2,379	4,354
Wyoming	670	3,327

Environmental and Employee Matters

The Company's interests in oil and gas operations are indirectly subject to various laws and governmental regulations concerning environmental matters, as well as employee safety and health within the United States. The Company does not believe that it has any direct responsibility for or control over these matters, as it does not act as operator of any oil or gas wells.

Oil and gas operations are subject to particular and extensive environmental concerns, hazards, and regulations. Among these regulations would be included the Toxic Substance Control Act; Resources Conservation and Recovery Act; The Clean Air Act; The Clean Water Act; The Safe Drinking Water

Act; and The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (also known as Superfund). Oil and gas operations are also subject to Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations concerning employee safety and health matters. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), OSHA, and other federal agencies have the authority to promulgate regulations that have an impact on all oil and gas operations.

In addition, various state and local authorities and agencies, such as The Railroad Commission of Texas, also impose and regulate environmental and employee concerns pertaining to oil and gas production. Often, though not exclusively, compliance with state environmental and employee regulations constitutes an exemption or compliance with federal mandates and regulations.

As indicated above, the Company does not have any direct control over or responsibility for insuring compliance with such environmental or employee regulations as they primarily pertain to the operator of oil and gas wells and leases. In no instances does the Company act as the operator of the oil and gas wells from which it receives revenues. The effect of a violation by an Operator of a well in which the Company had a working interest would be that the Company might incur its pro-rata share of the cost of the violation.

The Company is not aware of any instance in which it was found to be in violation of any environmental or employee regulations or laws, and the Company is not subject to any present litigation or claims concerning such matters. In some instances the Company could in the future incur liability even as a non-operator for potential environmental waste or damages or employee claims occurring on oil and gas properties or leases in which the Company has an ownership interest.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Oil and Gas Mineral Interests and Royalties

The Company owns perpetual mineral interests which total approximately 4,600 net mineral acres, of which approximately 1,100 net acres are producing. The mineral interests are located in 110,000 gross acres in Duchesne, Utah, Wasatch and Carbon Counties in Utah, and approximately 40 net mineral acres in La Plata County, Colorado, and San Juan County, New Mexico.

In 2001, the Company executed a few new leases or renewals on its perpetual mineral interests. Overall, however, the amount of new leasing was slight. In December 2000, the Company leased approximately 50 acres of its gross mineral

interests in Duchesne County, Utah. There was also increased production from the Company's perpetual mineral interests in San Juan County, New Mexico, due to the fact that field drilling continued on the coal bed methane gas. The Company also received revenues, which had been suspensed, from the Company's holdings in La Plata County, Colorado. These leases were part of a group of patented mineral rights being challenged by the Colorado Ute Indian Tribe as being invalid because they were on an Indian reservation. This lawsuit eventually reached the US Supreme Court where the Court ruled in favor of the holders of the mineral interests. Upon this decision, Amoco released suspensed royalties to numerous companies, among them Croff Oil Company.

In 1998-1999, there was a virtual halt to leasing on the Company's acreage due to declining petroleum prices. While the leasing had increased in 1996 and 1997, leasing activity came to a halt shortly after the first of 1998. Croff participated in royalties on two wells, which were drilled in Duchesne County, Utah, and one well in Wyoming. In addition, three small leases of 15.66 net acres, 6.8 net acres, and 50.69 net acres were drilled late in 1997, and the early part of 1998. These leases were for mineral interests in Duchesne County, Utah.

After a period of approximately four years in which there was minimal leasing, the Company entered into four leases on acreage in Duchesne County, Utah, in 1997. This generated several thousand dollars in lease bonus revenue and new production on some of the acreage.

The Company's revenues from oil and natural gas royalties decreased in the year 2001 compared to the year 2000. This decrease was due to the substantial decrease in the price of oil and natural gas during the second half of the year. Oil and gas revenues were approximately \$333,000 in 2001, compared to \$368,000 in the year 2000 and \$214,000 in 1999.

As of December 31, 2001, the Company was receiving royalties from approximately 200 producing wells, primarily in the Bluebell-Altamont field in Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Royalties also were received from scattered interests in Alabama, Colorado, New Mexico, Texas, and Wyoming. Natural gas royalty income increased from 1997 to 1999 with increased gas sales from royalties on coal bed methane gas in San Juan County, New Mexico, and La Plata County, Colorado. Royalty income is contingent upon market demand, prices, producing capacity, rate of production, and taxes, none of which are in the control of the Company.

The most important factor to the Company's revenue and profit is the price of oil and natural gas. Posted prices of oil dropped drastically during the period from late 1997 through mid-1999. Prices increased dramatically in 2000 from both oil and natural gas but began falling again during the third and fourth quarters of 2001. Natural Gas prices were only about two-thirds of the 1997 price during 1998 and 1999. Most onshore U.S. production is uneconomic at those prices, so oil exploration in the continental U.S. was greatly reduced. Currently Croff's prices are approximately \$20 a barrel for oil and approximately \$2.20 an Mcf for natural gas. Because much of Croff's natural gas is in the Rocky Mountains and Oklahoma, Croff's average price for natural gas is not as high as gas producers in Texas and the Gulf area receive.

The Company has sought to increase its production of oil and natural gas through the purchase of producing leases. The Company has found, in general, that it is able to purchase working interests at a more reasonable price than royalty interests. A working interest requires the owner to pay its proportionate share of the costs of producing the well, while a royalty is paid out of the revenues without a deduction for the operating costs of the well. When oil or natural gas prices drop, the proportion of the revenues going to pay the expense of operating the well increases, and when oil and natural gas prices are rising, expenses decrease as a percentage of total revenues. The Company did not purchase any material working interest in the year 2001. In late 2000, the Company participated as a five percent owner in a well in Leflore County, Oklahoma. This well was completed, but has not established commercial production. The Company intends to purchase working interests in oil and natural gas wells in the year 2002. The Company's purchase of working interests are intended to offset the normal decline of the Company's current oil and natural gas wells and, hopefully, increase its production over time.

In 1999, the Company realized its largest natural gas revenues from the working interests in six Oklahoma natural gas wells it purchased in 1998. These wells are primarily natural gas, but occasionally produce oil. The Company paid the sum of \$208,000 for minority working interests in the following leases: a 13% working interest in the Harper #1(Woodward County, Oklahoma), a 16% working interest in the Miller well (Woodward county, Oklahoma), a 22% working interest in the Fannie Brown well (Caddo county, Oklahoma), a 30% working interest in the Dickerson (Kingfisher County, Oklahoma), a 43% working interest in the Mueggenborg (Kingfisher County, Oklahoma), and a 32% interest in the Duncan well (LeFlore County, Oklahoma).

These wells were purchased from St. James Oil Company, which is owned by the brother of the President of Croff. Jenex Operating Company, which was owned one half by St. James Oil Ltd., was sold to Jenco Petroleum, which is owned by Gerald Jensen, the President of Croff, in a separate transaction. Jenex Operating Company is the operator of the wells, and agreed to provide a credit of \$150 per month per well against the operating expenses of these wells as a condition of purchase, as long as Croff owned the wells. The Dickerson, Fannie Brown and Mueggenborg sell natural gas through Duke Energy, and the Harper and Miller sell gas through Oneok, Inc. The Duncan sells gas through Webb Energy.

In 1997, the Company purchased an interest in seven wells. The Company increased its interest in the Rentuer oil and gas well in Wyoming, by purchasing a portion of Exxon's interest. The Company purchased an interest in a helium and gas well in Southeast Colorado. The Company also purchased a working interest in an oil well in North Dakota. In November of 1997, the Company purchased an interest in three gas wells in Michigan for approximately \$50,000.

Except for purchasing a small interest in the drilling of one well in 1991, one well in 1995, one well in 1997, and one well in 2000, the Company has not engaged in drilling activity. The Company participated in the last six years, in the reworking of five existing wells, three in Utah, one in Oklahoma and one in North Dakota. The Company historically preferred to participate in new wells drilled by other operators as a royalty owner. A royalty owner generally receives a smaller interest, but does not share in the expense of drilling or operating the wells.

ESTIMATED PROVED RESERVES, FUTURE NET REVENUES AND PRESENT VALUES

Management for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999 has evaluated the Company's interests in proved developed and undeveloped oil and gas properties. All of the Company's reserves are located within the continental United States. The following table summarizes the Company's estimate of proved oil and gas reserves at December 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999.

Reserve Category

_	Proved De	eveloped	Proved Und	eveloped	Tot	al
As of 12/31	Oil (Bbls)	Gas (Mcf)	Oil (Bbls)	Gas (Mcf)	Oil (Bbls)	Gas (Mcf)
2001	26,223	387,974	8,920	9,492	35,143	397,466
2000	31,099	460,124	9,045	9,625	40,144	469,749
1999	30,944	473,728	10,640	11,323	41,584	485,051

The estimated future net reserves (using adjusted December 31 prices and costs for each respective year), and the present value of future revenues (discounted at 10%) for the Company's proved developed and proved undeveloped oil and gas reserves, for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999 are summarized as follows:

	Proved D	eveloped	Proved Ur	ndeveloped	Tot	al
12/31	Future Net Revenue	of Future Net Revenue	Future Net Revenue	of Future Net Revenue	Future Net Revenue	of Future Net Revenue
						-
2001	\$1,102,845	\$737,171	\$160,679	\$108,180	\$1,263,524	\$845,351
2000	\$1,867,091	\$1,113,293	\$205,698	\$127,986	\$2,072,789	\$1,241,279
1999	\$1,170,625	\$759,735	\$178,508	\$115,852	\$1,349,133	\$875,587

"Proved developed" oil and gas reserves are reserves expected to be recovered from existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods. "Proved undeveloped" oil and gas reserves are reserves that are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage, or from existing wells where a relative major expenditure is required for recompletion.

For additional information concerning oil and gas reserves, see Supplemental Information - Disclosures about Oil and Gas Producing Activities - - Unaudited, included with the Financial Statements filed as a part of this report.

Since December 31, 2001, the Company has not filed any estimates of its oil and gas reserves with, nor were any such estimates included in any reports to, any state or federal authority or agency, other than the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Oil and Gas Acreage

During the last five fiscal years, the Company decreased its holdings in undeveloped oil and gas leases and generally increased its holdings in developed oil and gas leases. The Company increased its acreage position in 1998, but its holdings have remained relatively static during the fiscal years ending December 31, 2001 and 2000.

"Developed acreage" consists of lease acreage spaced or assignable to production on wells having been drilled or completed to a point that would permit production of commercial quantities of oil or gas. "Gross acreage" is defined as total acres in which the Company has any interest; "Net acreage" is the actual number of mineral acres in which the mineral interest is owned entirely by the Company. All developed acreage is held by production.

The acreage is concentrated in Alabama, Michigan, Oklahoma, Texas, and Utah and is widely dispersed in Colorado, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, and Wyoming.

COMPANY'S INTEREST IN PRODUCTIVE WELLS (Gross and Net)

The following table shows the Company's interest in productive wells as of December 31, 2001.

Oil Well	ls (1)	Gas Wells	(2)
Gross	Net	Gross	Net
206	1.81	42	2.1

- (1) Primarily located in the Bluebell-Altamont field in Northeastern Utah.

 These wells include some natural gas production, but are primarily oil wells.
- (2) Primarily located in Rio Blanco and LaPlata Counties, Colorado; Beaver, Woodward and Kingfisher Counties, Oklahoma; San Juan County, New Mexico; Otsego County, Michigan; Campbell County, Wyoming; and Duchesne County, Utah.

HISTORICAL PRODUCTION TO COMPANY

The following table shows approximate net production to the Company of crude oil and natural gas for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999:

			Crude Oil (Barrels)	Natural Gas (Thousands of Cubic Feet)
Year Ended December Year Ended December Year Ended December	31,	2000:	4,760 4,909 4,610	57,804 71,487 74,300

Croff has no delivery commitments with respect to the above production of oil and natural gas, since Croff is not the operator, but allows the operator to contract for delivery. The Company is unaware of the circumstances of any delivery commitments on royalty well.

AVERAGE SALES PRICE AND COSTS

The following table shows the approximate average sales price per barrel (oil) and Mcf (1000 cubic feet of natural gas), together with production costs for units of production for the Company's production revenues for 1999, 2000, and 2001.

	1999	2000	2001
Average sales price per Bbl of oil	\$16.65	\$27.73	\$22.42
Average production cost per Bbl	\$ 5.82	\$ 6.59	\$ 7.82
Average sales price per Mcf of natural gas	\$ 1.95	\$ 3.27	\$ 3.50
Average production cost per Mcf of natural gas	\$.53	\$.83	\$.95

The average production cost for oil increased in 2001 due to higher taxes on oil wells when compared to 2000, because of higher oil prices.

The average production cost for oil was higher in 1999, when compared to 1998; \$5.82 per barrel in 1999 and \$5.57 per barrel in 1998. Production costs per barrel of oil increased due to an increase in the price of oil, which increased the amount of production taxes, and higher prices for goods and services in the oilfield.

The average cost for natural gas increased in 2001 due to higher workover expenses on the wells, as well as higher production taxes because of much higher natural gas prices. To a lesser extent, the costs of goods and services in the oilfield also increased.

The average cost for natural gas increased in 2000 due to higher production taxes because of much higher natural gas prices. To a lesser extent, the costs of goods and services in the oilfield also increased.

The Company conducts its oil and gas operations from Denver, Colorado.

Corporate Offices and Employees

The corporate offices are located at 621 17th Street, Suite 830, Denver, Colorado 80293. The Company is not a party to any lease, but during 2001 paid \$2,000 per month to Jenex Petroleum Corporation, which is owned by the Company's President, for office space and all office services, including rent, phone, office supplies, secretarial, land, and accounting. Jenex Petroleum Corporation also provides assistance from a geologist. The Company's expenses for these services were approximately \$20,000 per year for the previous five years.

The Company currently has five (5) directors. The Company has one part-time employee, the President, and two part-time contract workers. The part-time contract workers are provided to the Company as part of its office lease and overhead agreement. The President and the contract workers work from the Company's corporate offices. None of the officers or employees are represented by a union.

Foreign Operations and Subsidiaries

The Company has no foreign operations, exports, or subsidiaries.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There are no legal actions of a material nature in which the Company is engaged.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

On November 9, 2001, the annual meeting of shareholders was held. The shareholders elected the five board members listed under Item 10, and ratified Causey Demgen & Moore, Inc. as independent auditors of the Company.

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S SECURITIES AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

On February 28, 1996, the shareholders approved the issuance of the preferred B stock to be issued to each common shareholder on the basis of one share preferred B for each share of common stock. The Company in the fourth quarter of 1996 issued all of the preferred shares and delivered the preferred B shares to each of the shareholders for which it had a current address. The preferred B shares have an extremely limited market, but are traded from time to time through a Clearinghouse held by the Company. The Company established a bid and ask format, whereby any shareholder may submit a bid or ask price for each preferred B share. During the first bid and ask period in 1997, bids of \$.75 were received and asked prices of \$.75 and \$.90 were received, and 13,365 preferred B shares were traded at \$.75 or \$.90. In 1998, the bid prices received were \$.90-\$1.00 and approximately 31,110 shares were traded at this price. In 1999, only 550 shares were traded. In 2000, the Company traded 7,370 shares at a price of \$1.05 per share. The Company is acting as its own transfer agent; with respect to these preferred B shares only. The Company plans to launch, during the second quarter of 2002, an Internet based Clearinghouse at www.croff.com that will operate 365 days a year.

In November 1991, the common stock was reversed split, 1:10, and a trading range of approximately \$1.00 to \$1.10 per bid was established and prevailed for approximately four years. A few transactions were conducted in the pink sheets, but the stock was not listed on any exchange and did not qualify to be listed on the NASDAQ small cap exchange. Therefore, there has been almost no trading in the Company's securities during the last five years. The Company has purchased common stock on an unsolicited basis during this period at a price of \$.80 to \$1.00 per common share and certain limited transactions known to the Company were traded within this same range. The chart below shows the limited trading of which the Company is aware during the last three years.

The trading range for 2001 is shown for preferred shares and common shares as a guide to the shareholders as to what transactions have either taken place or of which the Company is aware of the bid or ask price. One of the principal reasons for issuance of the Preferred B shares was to attempt to use the common shares of the Company to grow the Company to a size whereby an active trading market will develop. The Company qualified the stock for over the counter trading in 2000, and obtained a symbol "CRFF" from NASDAQ.

COMMON SHARES - 566,060 SHARES OUTSTANDING FOR 2001 (The following data is generated solely from private transactions or internal purchases by the Company)

DI	_ D	RΔ	NI	CE

GE	Calendar Quarter	Bid	Asked
	Catellual Qualitel	БІЦ	ASKEU
1999:	First Quarter	\$.75	\$.85
	Second Quarter	\$.95	\$1.00
	Third Quarter	\$.75	\$.90
	Fourth Quarter	\$.65	\$.80
2000:	First Quarter	\$.90	\$1.00
	Second Quarter	\$.90	\$1.00
	Third Quarter	\$.90	\$1.00
	Fourth Quarter	\$.90	\$1.00
2001:	First Quarter	\$.90	\$1.00
	Second Quarter	\$.90	\$1.00
	Third Quarter	\$.90	\$1.00
	Fourth Quarter	\$.90	\$1.00

Only a few transactions resulting in the transfer of stock took place in 1999, 2000 or 2001. In 2001, the Company repurchased less than 1,000 shares at the request of estates or widows at a price of \$1.00.

As of December 31, 2001, there were approximately 1,100 holders of record of the Company's common stock. The Company has never paid a dividend and has no present plan to pay any dividend.

PREFERRED "B" SHARES- 540,659 SHARES OUTSTANDING
(The following data is generated solely from private transactions or internal purchases by the Company)

BID	RANGE

IOL	Calendar Quarter	Bid	Asked
1999:	First Quarter	\$.85	\$.90
	Second Quarter	No Trading	No Trading
	Third Ouarter	No Trading	No Trading
	Fourth Quarter	No Trading	No Trading
2000:	First Quarter	No Trading	No Trading
	Second Quarter	\$1.05	\$1.05
	Third Quarter	\$1.05	\$1.05
	Fourth Quarter	\$1.05	\$1.05
2001:	First Quarter	No Trading	No Trading
	Second Quarter	No Trading	No Trading
	Third Quarter	No Trading	No Trading
	Fourth Quarter	No Trading	No Trading

\$(12,738) \$(19	5,582) \$ 12	,430 \$131	,668 \$ 61	, 535	
Per Common Share	\$ (.12)	\$ (.01)	\$ *	\$.01	\$.06
Working capital	\$205,339	\$ 1,866	\$ 90,697	\$273,295	\$385,816
Dividends per share	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
* - Less than .01 per	share				

BALANCE SHEET DATA

Total assets	\$504,875	\$508,847	\$498,162	\$628,172	\$695,124
Long-term debt*	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Stockholders' equity	\$497 892	\$458 123	\$480 353	\$611 966	\$672 085

 $^{^{**}}$ - There were no long-term obligations from 1997-2001.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The Company's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operation are based upon financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally adopted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the year. The Company analyzes its estimates, including those related to oil and gas revenues, oil and gas properties, marketable securities, income taxes and contingencies. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The Company believes the following critical accounting policies affect its more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its financial statements and the uncertainties that could impact our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. The Company accounted for its Oil and gas properties under the successful efforts method of accounting. The Company periodically evaluates its Oil and gas properties for possible impairment. Impairments are recorded when management believes that a property's net book value is not recoverable based on current estimates of expected future cash flows. The Company provides for depreciation and depletion of its investment in producing oil and gas properties on the unit-of-production method, based upon estimates of recoverable oil and gas reserves from the property.

FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY

Results of Operations

Oil and natural gas sales in 2001 were \$332,573 compared to \$368,022 in 2000. The major factors in this decrease in revenue was the combination of price and production for oil and natural gas. The average sale price of oil in 2001 for the Company was \$22.42 compared to \$27.73 in 2000. The average sale price of natural gas in 2001 for the Company was \$3.50 per Mcf, compared to \$3.27 per Mcf in 2000. Production of oil decreased approximately 3% in 2001, while production of natural gas decreased approximately 19%. Natural gas production decreased primarily for two reasons. The Company's Fannie Brown well located in Oklahoma was shut down during a workover during the last two quarters of the year 2001. In addition, natural gas production was decreased as the operators of the Company's wells slowed production due to low demand during the fourth quarter of 2001. During 2001, the Company realized a gain on the sale of marketable equity securities totaling \$22,193. Interest and dividend income increased approximately 50% during 2001 to \$10,459 from \$6,970 in 2000. The Company earned higher interest and dividend income due to an increase in the value of the Company's cash and cash equivalents.

Oil and natural gas sales in 2000 were \$368,022 compared to \$214,190 in 1999. The major factor in this increase in revenue was the higher prices for oil and natural gas. The average sale price of oil in 2000 for the Company was

\$27.73 compared to \$16.65 in 1999. The average sale price of natural gas in 2000 for the Company was \$3.27 per Mcf, compared to \$1.95 per Mcf in 1999. This material increase in price resulted in the higher revenues and the higher profits for the Company. Actual production of oil in 2000 was approximately equal to that of 1999 while actual production of natural gas showed a slight decrease. Other income increased in 2000 to \$6,970 from \$1,552 in 1999. This was due to additional interest earned from the Company's larger accumulated cash position.

Lease operating expense, which includes all production related taxes increased by approximately \$28,000 in 2001, to \$116,190 compared to \$87,921 in 2000. The primary reason for this increase was the expenditure of \$22,000 incurred in a five percent participation in an unsuccessful new well in Oklahoma. In addition to the lease operating expenses, there was an impairment loss during 2001 in the amount of \$34,107 due to the significant decrease in production of the Fannie Brown well in Oklahoma.

Lease operating expenses, which includes all production related taxes, increased significantly in 2000 to \$87,921 compared to \$66,532 in 1999. Most of this increase was due to the increase in production taxes, which are based upon a percentage of total revenues. Theses taxes, generally known as severance taxes, increased proportionally with the revenues. In addition, after the period of lower oil prices in 1998 and 1999, there were more workovers and reworks on existing wells in the year 2000 than during the previous two years.

General and administrative expense increased approximately \$7,400 to \$113,393 in 2001 from \$105,945 in 2000. This increase was due in part to an increase of \$400 per month in overhead paid to Jenex Petroleum Corporation, which is owned by the Company's President, for office space and all office services, including rent, phone, office supplies, secretarial, land, and accounting, and additional expenses for boards meetings and shareholder meetings.

General and administrative expenses, including certain overhead expenses paid to a related party, increased to \$105,945 in 2000 from \$87,464 in 1999. This increase was primarily due to expenses associated with the annual meeting in 2000, which it did not do in 1999. In addition the Company expended additional monies for legal work in order to qualify the Company to go on the NASDQ bulletin board market. In 2000 the Company also paid the Company's contribution to the President's retirement account, which had been deferred in 1999 due to low prices.

Capital Resources and Liquidity

At December 31, 2001, the Company's current assets totaled \$408,855 compared to current liabilities of \$23,039. At December 31, 2000, the Company's current assets totaled \$289,501 compared to current liabilities of \$16,206. Working capital at December 31, 2001 totaled \$385,816, an increase of 41% compared to \$273,295 at December 31, 2000. The Company had a favorable current ratio at December 31, 2001 of approximately 18:1. On June 15, 2001, the Company loaned \$15,000 to Reef Energy Corporation, a company in which Croff's President owns approximately one-fourth interest. This short-term secured note bears interest at 10% per annum. In December 2001, the Company loaned three of its Directors a total of \$40,000 associated with the exercise of their stock warrants. The fully recourse notes due December 31, 2002 bear interest at 6% per

annum. For 2002, the Company expects to continue to operate at a positive cash flow and intends to resume purchasing oil and natural gas leases. The Company has no short-term or long-term debt at this time.

At December 31, 2000, the Company's current assets totaled \$289,501 compared to current liabilities of \$16,206. At December 31,1999, the Company's current assets totaled \$108,506 compared to current liabilities of \$17,809. This resulted in the Company having a current asset to current liabilities ratio of approximately 18:1, in 2000.

Because the Company's revenues are based primarily on the commodity price of oil and natural gas, and, because the Company does not have significant operating expenses, inflation, generally, is favorable to the Company.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

See index to financial statements, financial statement schedules, and supplemental information, beginning with Page 22 (F-1) hereof.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Identification of Directors, Officer and Significant Employees.

The Croff Board consists of Gerald L. Jensen, Dilworth A. Nebeker, Richard H. Mandel, Edwin W. Peiker, and Julian D. Jensen. Each director will serve until the next annual meeting of shareholders, or until his successor is duly elected and qualified. The following is provided with respect to each officer and director of the Company as of March 22, 2002.

GERALD L. JENSEN, 62, PRESIDENT AND DIRECTOR

President of Croff Oil Company since October 1985. Mr. Jensen has been an officer and director of Jenex Petroleum Corporation, a private oil and gas company, for over ten years, and an officer and director of other Jenex companies. In 2000, Mr. Jensen became Chairman of Provisor Capital Inc., a private finance company. Mr. Jensen was a director of Pyro Energy Corp., a public company (N.Y.S.E.) engaged in coal production and oil and gas, from 1978 until it was sold in 1989. Mr. Jensen is also an owner of private real estate, finance, and oil and gas companies.

STUART D. KROONENBERG, 33, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Mr. Kroonenberg has been the Company's Chief Financial Officer since May 2001. Mr. Kroonenberg has over ten years experience as a CPA, including two years as an Assurance Services Manager, for a large international CPA firm. Mr. Kroonenberg has extensive experience working with small and mid-size public and privately held companies. Mr. Kroonenberg is a contract employee of the company described in Item 13.

RICHARD H. MANDEL, JR., 72, DIRECTOR

Mr. Mandel has been a director of Croff Enterprises, Inc. since 1986. Since 1982, Mr. Mandel has been President and a Board Member of American Western Group, Inc., an oil and gas producing company in Denver, Colorado. From 1977 to 1984, he was President of Universal Drilling Co., Denver, Colorado.

DILWORTH A. NEBEKER, 61, DIRECTOR

Mr. Nebeker served as President of Croff from September 2, 1983 to June 24, 1985, and has been a director of Croff since December 1981. He has been a lawyer in private practice for more than ten years. Prior thereto, he was a lawyer employed by Tosco Corporation, a public corporation, from 1973 to 1978. He was a lawyer with the Securities and Exchange Commission from 1967 to 1973.

EDWIN W. PEIKER, JR., 70, DIRECTOR AND SECRETARY

Mr. Peiker currently serves as director and secretary of Croff. He was President of Royal Gold, Inc., from 1988 through 1991, and continues to be a director. Since 1986, Mr. Peiker has been a Vice President and Director of Royal Gold, Inc., a public company engaged in gold exploration and mining activities. Prior thereto he was involved in private investments in oil and gas exploration and production. Mr. Peiker was employed in responsible positions with AMAX, Inc., a public corporation, from 1963 to 1983.

JULIAN D. JENSEN, 54, DIRECTOR

Mr. Jensen is the brother of the Company's president and has served as legal counsel to the Company for the past eight years. Mr. Jensen has practiced primarily in the areas of corporate and securities law, in Salt Lake City, Utah, since 1975. Mr. Jensen is currently associated with the firm of Jensen, Duffin, Carman, Dibb & Jackson, which acts as legal counsel for the Company.

The Company has no knowledge of any arrangements or understandings between directors or any other person pursuant to which any person was or is to be nominated or elected to the office of director of the Company.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Remuneration

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001, there were no officers, employees or directors whose total cash or other remuneration exceeded \$80,000.

2001 Compensation Gerald L. Jensen, President. (No other executive salaries)

		Annual	Compen	sation	Awards	Long Term Co	mpensati	on Payouts
Name and position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Other Annual Comp.	Restricted Stock Awards	Number Shares Covered by Option Grant	Long Term Incen. Plan Payout	All Other Comp.
Gerald L. Jensen President and Chairman	2001	\$54,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	20,000	\$0	\$1,620(1)
	2000	\$54,000	\$0	\$0	\$21,000(2)	20,000	\$0	\$1,620(1)
	1999	\$51,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	Pfd. B(2) 20,000	\$0	\$0

. .

- (1) Company IRA Contribution
- (2) The preferred B warrants were added to existing common warrants in 1996.

Gerald L. Jensen is employed part time as the President and Chairman of Croff Enterprises, Inc. Directors, excluding the President, are not paid a set salary by the Company, but are paid \$350 for each half-day board meeting and \$500 for each full-day board meeting.

Proposed Remuneration:

During 2002, the Company intends to compensate outside directors at the rate of \$350 for a half day meeting and \$500 for a full day meeting. Based on the proposed remuneration, for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2002, no officer or director shall receive total cash remuneration in excess of \$80,000.

Options, Warrants or Rights

The Company has no outstanding stock options, warrants or rights as of December 31, 2001. During 2001, 40,000 warrants were exercised and 10,000 warrants expired. In December 2001, the Company loaned three of its directors a total of \$40,000 associated with the exercise of their stock warrants. The fully recourse notes due December 31, 2002 bear interest at 6% per annum.

Directors were authorized and issued stock warrants in 1991, that essentially provide each director a warrant to purchase 10,000 shares of the Company's stock at \$1.00 per share through 1995. The President's warrant is for 20,000 shares. The warrants to purchase stock were extended for four more years at the Board of Directors meeting on November 1, 1995. In 1996, the warrants were modified to include an equal number of preferred B shares for each common share grantor. During 1999, warrants to purchase 10,000 shares, of both common and preferred B stock, were exercised, respectively. Also in 1999, the Board extended the expiration date for the warrants to purchase common stock and preferred B stock to December 31, 2001. Currently, the Company has no outstanding options or warrants. In 2001, 40,000 warrants were exercised and 10,000 warrants expired.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

(b) Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of Common stock and preferred B stock of the Company as of December 31, 2001, by (a) each person who owned of record, or beneficially, more than five percent (5%) of the Company's \$.10 par value common stock, its common voting securities, and (b) each director and nominee and all directors and officers as a group.

Richard H. Mandel, Jr. 3333 E. Florida #94 Denver, Colorado 80210	10,100	1.8%	16,202	3.0%
Julian D. Jensen 311 South State Street, Suite 380 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111	46,532 (2)	8.2%	46,532	8.6%
Directors as a Group	276,877	48.9%	302,656	56.0%

- (1) Includes 132,130 shares of Common and 132,130 shares preferred B held by Jensen Development Company which is primarily owned by Gerald L. Jensen.
- (2) Includes shares held in Jensen Family Trust (31,532) in which Julian D. Jensen is the Trustee and approximate 43% beneficial owner. Mr. Gerald L. Jensen holds an approximate 38% beneficial interest in these Trusts.

Rule 405 Disclosures

The registrant, in accordance with the requirements of the SEC Rule 405 of Regulation S-K, discloses that it has become aware that certain 5% or greater shareholders of its outstanding stock did not timely file reports on Forms 4 and 5 for the calendar year ending December 31, 2001. Set out below is the shares,

which should have been subject to the Form 4 and 5 filings by the respective shareholders. The Company is contemporaneously filing these forms with this report and has implemented procedures to attempt to prevent any delinquency in these types of reports in the future. The Company wishes to also note that a full and accurate description of all shares held by principal officers and each of the following parties has been contained in the description of security ownership by all persons owning 5% or more of the shares of the Company in the above tables under this section. Based upon the foregoing, the Company now represent as follows:

- (1) Mr. Gerald L. Jensen, President of the Company, exercised a warrant in December 2001 to acquire 20,000 shares of the Company's preferred B stock and 20,000 shares of the Company's common stock, which increased his beneficial ownership in the Company's preferred B stock from 42.5% to 43.1% and increased his beneficial ownership in the Company's common stock from 36.8% to 37.7%; as reported above.
- (2) Mr. Julian D. Jensen, a Director, exercised a warrant in December 2001 to acquire 10,000 shares of the Company's preferred B stock and 10,000 shares of the Company's common stock, which increased his beneficial ownership in the Company's preferred B stock from 7.3% to 8.6% and increased his beneficial ownership in the Company's common stock from 6.9% to 8.2%; as reported above.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The Company currently is in an office sharing arrangement with Jenex Petroleum Corporation, hereafter "Jenex", which is owned by the Company's

President. The Company is not a party to any lease, but during 2001 paid \$2,000 per month to Jenex, which is owned by the Company's president, for office space and all office services, including rent, phone, office supplies, secretarial, land, and accounting. Mr. Stuart Kroonenberg, the Chief Financial Officer of the Company, is paid by Jenex pursuant to this arrangement, but serves as an officer of Croff. These arrangements were entered into in order to reduce the Company's overhead. The Company is currently continuing this arrangement on a month-to-month basis. During 2001, Jenex provided similar services to another two companies in which the Company's President has a direct ownership interest. In the opinion of management, the amounts paid by Croff to Jenex for the personnel, office, equipment use, and other services are below the cost for such items if independently obtained.

On June 15, 2001, the Company loaned \$15,000 to a related party corporation, who's President is also the President of the Company. This short-term secured note bears interest at 10% per annum.

In December 2001, the Company loaned Messrs.Gerald Jensen, Julian Jensen and Richard Mandel, three of its Directors, a total of \$40,000 associated with the exercise of their stock warrants. The fully recourse notes due December 31, 2002 bear interest at 6% per annum.

The Company retains the legal services of Jensen, Duffin, Carman, Dibb & Jackson. Julian Jensen, a Director of the Company, is part of this professional corporation. Legal fees paid to this law firm for the years ending 2001, 2000, and 1999 are \$1,800, \$2,375 and \$329, respectively.

In 2001 the Company considered acquiring in Reef Energy Corporation, a private oil and gas firm, in which the Company's President had purchased approximately one-fourth interest. The Company loaned Reef Energy, as part of a larger loan, \$15,000 secured by assets of Reef Energy. Reef Energy is currently attempting to sell assets to repay this loan.

Effective April 1, 1998, the Company purchased six working interests in Oklahoma natural gas wells from St. James Oil Ltd. a company owned by a brother of the Company's President. The price of \$208,000 was slightly less than an unaffiliated parties offer, to St. James Oil Ltd., which offer, however, included the third party taking over operations from Jenex. As part of this transaction, Gerald Jensen, the Company's President, purchased the one half ownership of Jenex which he did not already own, and Jenex then retained operations of these wells, but agreed to rebate to Croff \$150 of the operating fees per well, each month, or a total of \$900 per month as long as Jenex operated the wells and Croff retained its interest. Croff then agreed to purchase the wells for \$208,000. The Board of Directors approved this acquisition in March 1998, with the President abstaining from the vote.

ITEM 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a)(1) Financial Statements. See index to financial statements, financial statement schedules, and supplemental information as referenced in Part II, Item 8, and the financial index on Page F-1 hereof. These reports are attached as Exhibits and are incorporated herein.

Reports on Form 8-K: None

Exhibit Index

Index & Financial statements, schedules, and supplemented information.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

REGISTRANT:

CROFF ENTERPRISES, INC.

Date: March 22, 2002 By: /S/Gerald L. Jensen Gerald L. Jensen, President, Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/Stuart D. Kroonenberg Date: March 22, 2002 Stuart D. Kroonenberg,

Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated have signed this report below.

Date: March 22, 2002 By: /S/Gerald L. Jensen

Gerald L. Jensen, President

Date: March 22, 2002 By: /S/Richard H. Mandel, Jr. -----

Richard H. Mandel, Jr., Director

By: /S/Edwin Peiker, Jr. Date: March 22, 2002

Edwin Peiker, Jr., Director

Date: March 22, 2002 By: /S/Dilworth A. Nebeker

Dilworth A. Nebeker, Director

Date: March 22, 2002 By: /S/Julian D. Jensen

Julian D. Jensen, Director

CROFF ENTERPRISES, INC. INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, SCHEDULES AND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

I.

II.

	Page Number
Financial Statements	
Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants	F-2
Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2000 and 2001	F-3
Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001	F-4
Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001	F-5
Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001	F-6
Notes to Financial Statements	F-7
Supplemental Information - Disclosures About Oil and Gas Producing Activities - Unaudited	F-15

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Board of Directors and Stockholders Croff Enterprises, Inc.

We have audited the balance sheets of Croff Enterprises, Inc. at December 31, 2000 and 2001, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Croff Enterprises, Inc. as of December 31, 2000 and 2001, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Denver, Colorado March 21, 2002

CAUSEY DEMGEN & MOORE INC.

CROFF ENTERPRISES, INC. BALANCE SHEETS December 31, 2000 and 2001

	2000	2001
ASSETS		
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Marketable equity securities, available for sale Accounts receivable Notes receivable, related parties	\$ 191,634 6,125 91,742	\$ 338,870 4,600 49,226 16,159
	289,501	408,855
Oil and gas properties, at cost, successful efforts m		
Proved properties Unproved properties	611,960 97,102	
Accumulated depletion and depreciation	709,062 (370,391)	675,193 (388,924)
	338,671	286,269
Total assets	\$ 628,172	\$ 695,124
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	=======	=======
Current liabilities: Accounts payable Accrued liabilities	\$ 10,838 5,368	\$ 17,568 5,471
	16,206	23,039
Stockholders' equity: Class A Preferred stock, no par value 5,000,000 shares authorized, none issued Class B Preferred stock, no par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized, 500,659 and 540,659 shares	-	-
issued and outstanding Common stock, \$.10 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized, 589,143 and 629,143 shares issued	475,359	397,085
and outstanding Capital in excess of par value Treasury stock, at cost, 62,883 and 63,083		62,914 530,071
shares issued and outstanding Accumulated other comprehensive loss Accumulated deficit Notes receivable from directors	(82,951) - (255,153) -	(83,151) (1,150) (193,618) (40,066)
	611,966	672,085
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 628,172 =======	\$ 695,124 =======

See accompanying notes to the financial statements. F-3 $\,$

CROFF ENTERPRISES, INC. STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS For the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001

	1999	2000	2001
Revenues Oil and gas sales Gain on disposal of oil and gas	\$ 214,190	\$ 368,022	\$ 332,573
properties Gain on sale of marketable equity	2,563	(5,623)	-
securities Other income	1,552		
		369,369	365,225
Expenses Lease operating expense including			
production taxes General and administrative Overhead expense, related party	66,532 68,264 19,200	87,921 86,745 19,200	116,190 89,393 24,000
Impairment of oil and gas properties Depletion and depreciation Interest	2,819 48,665 395	43,835	34,107 40,000
	205,875	237,701	303,690
Net income Net income applicable to	12,430	131,668	61,535
preferred B shareholders	14,000	125,000	30,000
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders	\$ (1,570) ======		\$ 31,535 =======
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$ (*) =======	\$.01 ======	\$.06 ======

^{*}less than \$.01 per share

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

CROFF ENTERPRISES, INC. STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY For the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001

	Preferred	B stock	Common	stock	Capital in excess of	Treasury	Accumulated other comprehensive	Accumulated
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	par value	stock	loss	deficit
Balance at December 31, 1998	490,859	\$329,559	579,143	\$ 57,914	\$ 552,797	\$(82,896)	\$ -	\$ (399,251)
Stock warrants exercised Purchase and retirement of	10,000	7,000	10,000	1,000	2,000	-	-	-
shares of preferred B stock Net income for the year ended	(200)	(200)	-	-	-	-	-	-
December 31, 1999 Preferred stock reallocation	-	14,000	-	-	(14,000)	-	-	12,430
Balance at December 31, 1999 Purchase of 55 shares of	500,659	350,359	589,143	58,914	540,797	(82,896)	-	(386,821)
treasury stock Net income for the year ended	-	-	-	-	-	(55)	-	-
December 31, 2000 Preferred stock reallocation	-	125,000	-	-	(125,000)	-		131,668 -
Balance at December 31, 2000	500,659	475,359	589,143	58,914	415,797	(82,951)	-	(255, 153)
Stock warrants exercised Purchase of 200 shares of	40,000	28,000	40,000	4,000	8,000	-	-	-
treasury stock Net unrealized loss on marketable	-	-	-	-	-	(200)	-	-
equity securities Net income for the year ended	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,150)	-
December 31, 2001	-	(106 074)	-	-	106 074	-	-	61,535
Stock reallocation Preferred stock reallocation	-	(136,274) 30,000	-	-	136,274 (30,000)	-	-	-
Balance at December 31, 2001	540,659 ======	\$397,085 ======	629,143	\$ 62,914 ======	\$ 530,071 =======	\$(83,151) ======	\$ (1,150)	\$ (193,618) =======

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

CROFF ENTERPRISES, INC. STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001

	1999	2000	2001
Cash flows from operating activities: Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating	\$ 12,430	\$ 131,668	\$ 61,535
activities: Depletion and depreciation Loss on disposal or impairment of	48,665	43,835	40,000
property Realized gain on marketable equity	256	5,623	34,107
securities Other items, net Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	(1,250) -	(1,750) 1,527	(22,193) 375
Accounts receivable		(45,327)	
Accrued interest on notes receivabl Accounts payable Accrued liabilities	4,383 (4,707)	(3,613) 2,010	(1,225) 6,730 103
Net cash provided by operating			
activities	48,533	133,973	161,948
Cash flows from investing activities: Purchase of marketable equity securitie Proceeds from sale of marketable equity	es -	-	(72,931)
securities	-	-	95,124
Notes receivable issued	- -	-	(15,000)
Additions to oil and gas properties Distribution from a coal investment	8,458	-	(21,705)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	8,458	-	(14,512)
Cash flows from financing activities: Exercise of stock warrant Purchase of preferred B stock Purchase of treasury stock Repayment of note payable	10,000 (200) - (23,369)		
Net cash (used in) financing activities	(13,569)	(55)	(200)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents	43,422	133,918	147,236
at beginning of year	14,294	57,716	191,634
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 57,716 =======	\$ 191,634 =======	,

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:
 In December 2001, the Company received notes from certain Directors associated with the exercise of \$40,000 in stock warrants. During the year ended December 31, 2001, the Company has unrealized losses on available for sale securities in the amount of \$1,150.

See accompanying notes to the financial statements. $\ensuremath{\text{F-6}}$

1. ORGANIZATIONS AND NATURE OF BUSINESS

Croff Enterprises, Inc. (the Company) is engaged in the business of oil and gas exploration and production, primarily through ownership of perpetual mineral interests and acquisition of producing oil and gas leases. The Company's principal activity is oil and gas production from non-operated properties. The Company also acquires, owns, and sells, producing and non-producing leases and perpetual mineral interests in Alabama, Colorado, Michigan, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Texas, Utah, and Wyoming. Over the past ten years, the Company's primary source of revenue has been oil and gas production from leases and producing mineral interests. Croff participates as a working interest owner in approximately 42 wells. Croff holds small royalty interests in over 200 wells.

The Company was incorporated in Utah in 1907 as Croff Mining Company. The Company changed its name to Croff Oil Company in 1952, and in 1996 changed its name to Croff Enterprises, Inc. The Company, however, continues to operate its oil and gas properties as Croff Oil Company.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Producing activities

The Company follows the "successful efforts" method of accounting for its oil and gas properties. Under this method, all property acquisition costs and costs of exploratory and development wells are capitalized when incurred, pending determination of whether the well has proven reserves. If an exploratory well does not result in reserves, the capitalized costs of drilling the well, net of any salvage, are charged to expense. The costs of development wells are capitalized, whether the well is productive or nonproductive.

Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense; betterments of property are capitalized and depreciated as described below.

Lease bonuses

The Company defers bonuses received from leasing minerals in which unrecovered costs remain by recording the bonuses as a reduction of the unrecovered costs. Bonuses received from leasing mineral interests previously expensed are taken into income. For federal income tax purposes, lease bonuses are regarded as advance royalties (ordinary income). The Company received no lease bonuses in 1999 and 2000. In 2001, the Company received lease bonuses totaling \$2,220, which was included in other income.

Depreciation and depletion

The Company provides for depreciation and depletion of its investment in producing oil and gas properties on the unit-of-production method, based upon estimates of recoverable oil and gas reserves from the property.

Impairment of assets

Producing property costs are evaluated for impairment and reduced to fair value if the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than net book value pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 121 (SFAS 121) "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of." Impairment of non-producing leasehold costs and undeveloped mineral and royalty interests are assessed periodically on a property-by-property basis, and any impairment in value is currently charged to expense. There was no impairment loss during 1999 and 2000. There was an impairment loss during 2001 in the amount of \$34,107 due to the significant decrease in production from the Fannie Brown well in Oklahoma.

In August 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board approved for issuance Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144 (SFAS 144), Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long- Lived Assets. This standard addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment of disposal of long-lived assets. This standard establishes a single framework, based on Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121 (SFAS 121), Accounting for the Impairment of Lon-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed Of, for long-lived assets to be disposed of the sale and resolves certain implementation issues related to SFAS 121. SFAS 144 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company does not expect SFAS 144 to have a material effect on the Company's financial position, result of operations, or cash flows.

Revenue recognition

Oil and gas revenues are accounted for using the sales method. Under this method, revenue is recognized based on the cash received rather than the Company's proportionate share of the oil and gas produced. Oil and gas imbalances and related value at December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001 were insignificant.

Risks and uncertainties

Historically, oil and gas prices have experienced significant fluctuations and have been particularly volatile in recent years. Price fluctuations can result from variations in weather, levels of regional or national production and demand, availability of transportation capacity to other regions of the country and various other factors. Increases or decreases in prices received could have a significant impact on future results.

Comprehensive Income

The Company follows the provisions of SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income," which establishes standards for reporting comprehensive income. In addition to net income, comprehensive income includes all changes in equity during a period, except those resulting from investments and distributions to the owners of the Company. The Company had no such changes prior to 2001. The components of other comprehensive income net of the related tax effects for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001 totaled \$1,150 are related to a net unrealized loss on the Company's marketable equity securities, which are available for sale.

Fair value of financial instruments

The carrying amounts of financial instruments including cash and cash equivalents, marketable equity securities, accounts receivable, notes receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate fair value as of December 31, 2000 and 2001.

Concentrations of credit risk

Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of cash, cash equivalents and accounts receivable. The Company places its cash with high quality financial institutions. At times during the year, the balance at any one financial institution may exceed FDIC limits.

Derivative instruments and hedging activities

The Company has not entered into commodity derivative contracts or fixed-price physical contracts to manage its exposure to oil and gas price volatility, the Company's principal activity is oil and gas production from non-operated properties.

Stock warrants

The Company has adopted the disclosure-only provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" related to its stock warrants.

Cash equivalents

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Marketable equity securities

The Company has designated its marketable equity securities as "securities available for sale" pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. The net unrealized gain related to these securities before taxes were \$0 and \$1,150 at December 31, 2000 and 2001 and is reflected as another comprehensive loss. During 2001, a portion of the available-for-sale securities was sold for \$95,124 resulting in a net gain before taxes of \$22,193 based upon historical cost.

Accounts receivable

The Company considers accounts receivable to be fully collectible; accordingly, no allowance for doubtful accounts is required. If amounts become un-collectible, they will be charged to operations when that determination is made.

Income taxes

The provision for income taxes is based on earnings reported in the financial statements. Deferred income taxes are provided using a liability approach based upon enacted tax laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the taxes become payable.

Net income (loss) per common share

In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 128, "Earnings per Share," basic income (loss) per common share amounts were computed by dividing net income (loss) after deduction of the net income attributable to the preferred B shares by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted income (loss) per common share assumes the conversion of all securities that are exercisable or convertible into either preferred B or common shares that would dilute the basic earnings per common share during the period. The increase in potential shares used to determine dilutive income (loss) per share for the years ended December 31, 1999 and 2000 is attributable to dilutive stock warrants. All of the Company's outstanding stock warrants were either exercised or expired on or prior to December 31, 2001.

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

3. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company retains the services of a law firm in which a partner of the firm is a director of the Company. Legal fees paid to this firm for the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001 amounted to \$329, \$2,375 and \$1,800, respectively.

The Company has a month-to-month agreement with an affiliated company to provide for office services and subleased office space for \$1,600 per month through December 31, 2000 and increasing to \$2,000 per month on January 1, 2001. Accrued liabilities at December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001 included \$1,600, \$1,600 and \$0 due to the affiliated company pursuant to this agreement.

On June 15, 2001, the Company loaned \$15,000 to a related Corporation who's President is also the President of the Company. The short-term secured note bears interest at 10% per annum.

Purchase of proved oil and gas properties

On April 7, 1998, the Company purchased certain working leasehold interests in oil and gas wells in Oklahoma, from an affiliated company, for cash in the amount of \$208,000. Another affiliated entity is the operator of these wells, and has offered to offset the Company's lease operating expenses on these wells in the amount of \$150 per month per well (an aggregate of \$900 per month) for as long as the Company owns the wells. In October 1998, this amount was increased to \$180 per month per well (an aggregate of \$1,080 per month). In January 2000, the offset was returned to the original \$900 per month aggregate (\$150 per well). During the years ending December 31,1999, 2000 and 2001, \$10,720, \$10,800 and \$10,800, respectively, has been offset against lease operating expense, in this manner.

4. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

During 2001, the Board determined that the cash of the Company, which had been building during a period of high oil prices, should be formally allocated between the common stock and the preferred B stock. The Board decided to allocated \$250,000 cash to the common stock and the balance of cash remaining with the preferred B stock. The Board then determined that all future oil and gas cash flow would be allocated solely to the preferred B stock. The Company established separate investment accounts for the preferred B and common stock investments.

The Company has no outstanding stock options, warrants or rights as of December 31, 2001. During 2001, warrants to purchase 40,000 common shares and 40,000 Class B Preferred shares were exercised by the Directors providing full recourse notes receivable to the Company for \$40,000 and 10,000 warrants to purchase 10,000 common shares and 10,000 Class B Preferred shares expired.

Directors were authorized and issued stock warrants in 1991 that essentially provide each director a warrant to purchase 10,000 shares of the Company's stock at \$1.00 per share through 1995. The President's warrant is for 20,000 shares. The warrants to purchase stock were extended for four more years at the Board of Directors meeting on November 1, 1995. The warrants were again extended for two years to expire December 31, 2001. In 1996, the warrants were modified to include an equal number of Preferred B shares for each common share grantor. During 1999, warrants to purchase 10,000 shares were exercised.

The Company has adopted the disclosure-only provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation". Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for the warrants. Had compensation costs for the Company's warrants been determined based on the fair value at the extension date consistent with the provision of SFAS No. 123, the Company's net earnings and earnings per share for 1999 would have decreased by \$50,000 to a loss of \$37,570 or \$.10 per share. The Company's net earnings and earnings per share for 2000 and 2001 would not be materially different. The fair value is estimated on the date the warrants were extended in 1999 using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, using an expected life of 2 years, a risk-free interest rate of 6.29% and expected volatility of 28%.

In June 2000, the Company approved the increase in the authorized Class B Preferred stock to 1,000,000 shares.

The Class A Preferred stock was authorized for possible future capitalization and funding purposes of the Company and has not yet been designated as voting or non-voting. Presently, there are no plans or intentions to issue these shares.

The Class B Preferred stock was authorized to allocate the existing perpetual mineral interests and other oil and gas assets of the Company for the benefit of existing stockholders while the Company pursues other business ventures. The Class B Preferred stock has no par value and limited voting privileges. The Class B Preferred stockholders are entitled exclusively to all dividends, distributions, and other income, which are based directly, or indirectly on the oil and natural gas assets of the Company. In addition, in the event of liquidation, distribution or sale of the Company, the Class B Preferred stockholders have an exclusive preference to the net asset value of the natural gas and oil assets over all other classes of common and preferred stockholders.

The Class B Preferred shares have an extremely limited market, but are traded from time to time through a clearinghouse held by the Company. The Company established a bid and ask format, whereby any shareholder could submit a bid or ask price for each Class B Preferred share. In 1999, only 550 shares were traded. In 2000, the Company traded 7,370 shares at a price of \$1.05 per share. In 2001, no shares of Class B Preferred were traded. The Company is acting as its own transfer agent, with respect to these Class B Preferred shares only.

5. INCOME TAXES

At December 31, 2001, the Company had net tax capital loss carry-forwards of approximately \$48,300. In addition, the Company has a depletion carryover of approximately \$400,000, which has no expiration date.

The Company did not record an income tax provision for the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 or 2001 due to the utilization of a tax loss carry forward. The recognized tax benefit of the utilized carry forward was approximately \$1,000, \$34,000 and \$17,100 respectively, for the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001. The Company has a financial statement loss carryover of approximately \$159,500 remaining at December 31, 2001. The difference in financial statement and tax return loss carryovers is principally the difference in the timing of deducting intangible drilling costs as well as from expired and unused tax net operating losses.

As of December 31, 2000 and 2001, total deferred tax assets; liabilities and valuation allowance are as follows:

=======	========
\$ -	\$ -
\$ 95,000 (95,000)	\$ 175,400 (175,400)
2000	2001
	\$ 95,000 (95,000)

7. BASIC AND DILUTED INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE

Basic income (loss) per common share information is based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each year, approximately 518,000 shares in 1999, 526,000 shares in 2000 and 527,000 in 2001. Outstanding warrants were not dilutive in any of the periods presented.

8. MAJOR CUSTOMERS

Customers, which accounted for over 10% of oil and natural gas revenues, were as follows for the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001:

	1999	2000	2001
Burlington Resources Oil and Gas Company	13.2%	13.2%	13.2%
El Paso Production	10.0%	11.0%	10.6%
Jenex Petroleum Corp.	26.9%	28.9%	24.3%

Management believes that the loss of any individual purchaser would not have a long-term material adverse impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In November, 1982, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued and the SEC adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 69 (SFAS 69) "Disclosures about Oil and Gas Producing Activities". SFAS 69 requires that certain disclosures be made as supplementary information by oil and gas producers whose financial statements are filed with the SEC. The Company bases these disclosures upon estimates of proved reserves and related valuations. Management of the Company, none of whom are licensed as either a petroleum reservoir engineer or geologist, compiled these estimates. No attempt is made in this presentation to measure "income" from the changes in reserves and costs.

The standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows relating to proved reserves as computed under SFAS 69 guidelines may not necessarily represent the fair value of the Company's oil and gas properties in the market place. Other factors, such as changing prices and costs and the likelihood of future recoveries differing from current estimates, may have significant effects upon the amount of recoverable reserves and their present value.

The standardized measure does not include any "probable" and "possible" reserves, which may exist and may become available through additional drilling activity.

The standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows is developed as follows:

- Estimates are made of quantities of proved reserves and the future periods during which they are expected to be produced based on year-end economic conditions.
- 2. The estimated future production of proved reserves is priced on the basis of year-end prices except that future prices of gas are increased for fixed and determinable escalation provisions in contracts (if any).
- 3. The resulting future gross revenue streams are reduced by estimated future costs to develop and produce the proved reserves, based on year-end cost and timing estimates.
- 4. A provision is made for income taxes based upon year-end statutory rates. Consideration is made for the tax basis of the property and permanent differences and tax credits relating to proved reserves. The tax computation is based upon future net cash inflow of oil and gas production and does not contemplate a tax effect for interest income and expense or general and administrative costs.
- 5. The resulting future net revenue streams are reduced to present value amounts by applying a 10% discount factor.

Changes in the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows are calculated as follows:

- Acquisition of proved reserves is based upon the standardized measure at the acquisition date before giving effect to related income taxes.
- Sales and transfers of oil and gas produced, net of production costs, are based upon actual sales of products, less associated lifting costs during the period.
- 3. Net changes in price and production costs are based upon changes in prices at the beginning and end of the period and beginning quantities.
- 4. Extensions and discoveries are calculated based upon the standardized measure before giving effect to income taxes.
- 5. Purchase of reserves are calculations based on increases from the Company's acquisition activities.
- 6. Revisions of previous quantity estimates are based upon quantity changes and end of period prices.
- 7. The accretion of discount represents the anticipated amortization of the beginning of the period discounted future net cash flows.
- 8. Net change in income taxes primarily represents the tax effect related to all other changes described above and tax rate changes during the period.

All of the Company's oil and gas producing activities are in the United States.

OIL AND GAS PRICES

During the year ended December 31, 2001, crude oil and natural gas prices were highly volatile. The average sale price of oil in 2001 for the Company was \$22.42, compared to \$27.73 in 2000. The average sale price of natural gas in 2001 for the Company was \$3.50 per Mcf, compared to \$3.27 per Mcf in 2000. The ultimate amount and duration of oil and gas price fluctuations and their effect on the recoverability of the carrying value of oil and gas properties and future operations is not determinable by management at this time.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR PRODUCING ACTIVITIES

The results of operations for oil and gas producing activities, excluding capital expenditures, impairment charges, corporate overhead and interest expense, are as follows for the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001:

	1999	2000	2001
Revenues	\$214,190	\$368,022	\$332,573
Lease operating costs Production taxes Depletion and depreciation	42,829 23,703 48,665	,	83,700 32,490 40,000
Income tax expense	115,197 -	131,756	156,190 -
Results of operations from producing Activities (excluding capital expenditures, impairment charges corporate overhead, and interest expense)	\$ 98,993 ======	\$236,266 ======	\$176,383 ======

STANDARDIZED MEASURE OF DISCOUNTED FUTURE NET CASH FLOWS AND CHANGES THEREIN RELATING TO PROVED OIL AND GAS RESERVES

	Year ended December 31,		
	1999	2000	2001
Future cash inflows Future production and development costs	\$1,777,000 (428,000)	\$2,507,000 (434,000)	
Future income tax expense	1,349,000	2,073,000	1,263,000 (210,000)
Future net cash flows	1,349,000	2,073,000	1,053,000
10% annual discount for estimated timing of cash flows Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows	(473,000)	(832,000)	(418,000)
	\$ 876,000 ======	\$1,241,000 ======	\$ 635,000 ======
The following are the principal sources change in the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows:	of		
Beginning balance	\$675,000	\$876,000	1,241,000
Evaluation of proved undeveloped reserves, net of future production and development costs Purchase of proved reserves Sales and transfer of oil and gas	9,000 -	12,000	14,000 -
produced, net of production costs Net increase (decrease) in prices	(214,000)	(280,000)	(218,000)
and costs Extensions and discoveries Revisions of previous quantity estimates Accretion of discount Net change in income taxes Other	406,000 - (10,000) 10,000 - -	695,000 20,000 154,000 (236,000) -	57,000
Ending balance	\$876,000 =====	\$1,241,000 ======	

PROVED OIL AND GAS RESERVE QUANTITIES (All within the United States)

	Oil reserves (bbls)	reserves
Balance at December 31, 1998	49,298	424,074
Revisions of previous estimates Production		135,277 (74,300)
Balance at December 31, 1999	41,584	485,051
Revisions of previous estimates Extensions, discoveries and other additions Production	800	46,000 10,198 (71,500)
Balance at December 31, 2000	40,144	469,749
Revisions of previous estimates Extensions, discoveries and other additions Production	` -	(12,479) (2,000) (57,804)
Balance at December 31, 2001	35,143 ======	397,466 ======
Proved developed reserves December 31, 1999 December 31, 2000 December 31, 2001	30,944 31,099 26,223	460,124

Costs incurred in oil and gas producing activities for the ended December 31, 1999, 2000, and 2001 are as follows:

	1999	2000	2001	
Property acquisition, exploration and				
development costs capitalized	\$ -	\$ -	\$(21,705)	
Impairment of property	2,819	-	34,107	
Production costs	66,532	87,921	116,190	
Depletion and depreciation	48,665	43,835	40,000	